man to fay thus to God in a dying hour; "Lord, now the world leaves me, I come to thee. I pray thee give me eternal life, who could never afford "to give thee one good day of my life: Grant that I may live with thee and enjoy thee for ever, who could never endure to think upon thee. I "must confess that I could never be persuaded to leave my sins out of love to thee, but now I repent of them for fear of thee: I am conscious to my the fear of the state of the " felf that I would never do any thing for thy fake, but yet I hope thy "goodness is such that thou wilt forgive all the ungodliness and unrighteous" ness of my life, and accept of this forced submission which I now make "to thee. I pray thee do not at last frustrate and disappoint me in this de-"fign which I have laid, of finning while I live and getting to Heaven "when I dye. Surely no man can think it fit to fay thus to God; and yet I am afraid this is the true interpretation of many a man's repentance who hath deferr'd it till he comes to dye. I do not speak this to discourage re-pentance, even at that time. It is always the best thing we can do. But I would by all means discourage men from putting off so necessary a work till then. 'Tis true indeed when 'tis come to this and a sinner finds himself going out of the world, if he have been to foolish and to cruel to himself as to put things upon this last hazard, repentance is now the only thing that is lest for him to do; this is his last remedy and the only refuge he has to fly to: And this is that which the Minister in this case ought by all means to put the man upon, and earneftly to perfuade him to. But when we speak to men in other circumstances, that are well and in health, we dare not for all the world encourage them to venture their fouls upon fuch an uncertainty. For to speak the best of it, it is a very dangerous remedy, especially when men have defignedly contriv'd to rob God of the service of their best days, and to put him off with a few unprostable sighs and tears at the hour of death. I desire to have as large apprehensions of the mercy of God as any man, but withal, I am very sure that he is the hardest to be imposed upon of any one in the world. And no man that hath any worthy apprehensions of the Deity can imagine him to be so easy, as to forgive men upon the least word and intimation of their minds, and to have such a fondness for offenders as would reflect upon the prudence of any Magistrate and Governor upon Earth. God grant that I may fincerely endeavour to live a holy and virtuous life, and may have the comfort of that when I come to dye: And that I may never be so unwise as to venture all my hopes of a bleffed eternity upon a death bed repentance.

I will conclude all with those excellent sayings of the Son of Syrach (Ecclus. 5. 6, 7. 16. 11, 12. 18. 21, 22.) Say not, God's mercy is great, and he will be pacified for the multitude of my sins. For mercy and wrath is with him; he is mighty to forgive, and to pour out displeasure: And as his mercy is great, so are his corrections also. Therefore make no tarrying to turn to the Lord, and put not off from day to day. For suddenly shall the wrath of the Lord come forth, and in thy security thou shalt be destroyed. Humble thy self before thou be sick, and in the time of sins shew repentance. Let nothing hinder thee to pay thy vows in due time, and defer not till death to be sussified.

E R M N XI.

The Hazard of being Saved in the Church of Rome.

1 C O R. III. 15.

But be himself shall be saved, yet so as by fire.

HE Context is thus. According to the grace of God which is given unto me, as a wife Master builder, I have laid the foundation, and another buildeth thereon: but let every man take heed how he buildeth thereupon. For other foundation can no man lay, than that which is laid, Jesus Christ. Now if any man build upon this foundation, gold, silver, precious stones, wood, hay, subble, every man's work shall be made manifest, for the day shall declare it; because it shall be revealed by sire, and the fire shall try every man's work of what sort it is. If any man's work shide which he hath built thereupon, he shall receive a reward. If any man's work shall be burnt, he shall suffer loss; but he himself shall be saved, yet so as by fire.

In these words the Apostle speaks of a sort of persons, who held indeed the foundation of Christianity, but built upon it such dostrines or practices as would not bear the trial; which he expresses to us by wood, hay, and subble, which are not proof against the Fire. Such a person, the Apostle tells us. hath thereupon. For other foundation can no man lay, than that which is

which are not proof against the Fire. Such a person, the Apostle tells us, hath brought himself into a very dangerous state, though he would not deny the

brought himself into a very dangerous state, though he would not deny the possibility of his salvation; He himself shall be saved, yet so as by fire. That by fire here is not meant the fire of Purgatory, as some pretend (who would be glad of any shadow of a Text of Scripture to countenance their own dreams) I shall neither trouble you nor my self to manisest; since the particle of similitude $[\omega_s]$ plainly shews that the Apostle did not intend an escape out of the fire literally, but like to that which men make out of a House or Town that is on fire. Especially since very learned persons of the Church of Rome do acknowledge that Purgatory cannot be concluded the Church of Rome do acknowledge that Purgatory cannot be concluded from this Text, nay all that Estims contends for from this place is, that it cannot be concluded from hence that there is no Purgatory; which we never pretended, but only that this Text doth not prove it.

It is very well known that this is a Proverbial phrase used not only in Scripture, but in prophane Authors to fignify a narrow escape out of a great danger. He shall be saved, yet so as by sire, due ropes, out of the fire. Just as diverse is used 1 Pet. 3. 20. where the Apostle speaking of the eight persons of Noah's family who escap'd the stood, dread and with they escaped out of the same day. ped out of the water. So here this phrase is to be rendred in the Text, he himself shall escape, yet so as out of the size. The like expression you have Amos 4.11. I have pluck'd them as a sirebrand out of the fire. And Jude 23. Others save with sear, plucking them out of the sire. All which expressions signify the green of the himself of the size. the greatness of the danger and the difficulty of escaping it; as one who when his house at midnight is set on fire, and being suddenly wak'd leaps out of his bed, and runs naked out of the doors, taking nothing that is within along with him,

SERMON

121

From the words thus explained, the Observation that naturally ariseth is this, That men may hold all the Fundamentals of Christian Religion, and yet may superadd other things whereby they may greatly endanger their salvation. What those things were which some among the Corinthians built upon the foundation of Christianity, whereby they endanger'd their Salvation, we may probably conjecture by what the Apostle reproves in this Epistle, as the tolerating of incestinous marriages, communicating in Idol Feasts, &c. and especially by the decerrine of the false Apostles, who at that time did fo much disturb the peace of most Christian Churches, and who are so often and so severely resected upon in this Epistle. And what their Dostrine was, we have an account, Acts 15. viz. that they imposed upon the Gentile Christians Circumcision, and the observation of the Jewish Law, teaching that unless they were circumcised, and kept the Law of Moses, they could not be faved. So that they did not only build these doctrines upon Christianity, but they made them equal with the Foundation, faying, that unless men believed and practifed such things they could not be saved.

In speaking to this Observation, I shall reduce my discourse to these two

Heads

1. I shall present to you some Dottrines and Practices which have been built upon the Foundation of Christianity, to the great hazard and danger of mens salvation. And to be plain, I mean particularly the Church of Rome.

2. I shall enquire, whether our granting a possibility of salvation (tho with great hazard) to those in the communion of the Roman Church, and their denying it to us, be a reasonable argument and encouragement to any man to betake himself to that Church.

And there is the more reason to consider these things, when so many scau-cing Spirits are so active and busy to pervert men from the truth; and when we see every day so many men and their Religion so easily parted. For this reason these two Considerations shall be the subject of the following difcourfe.

I. First, We will consider some Dottrines and Practices which the Church of Rome hath built upon the foundation of Christianity, to the great hazard and danger of mens falvation. It is not denied by the most judicious Prote-fants, but that the Church of Rome do hold all the Articles of the Christians, an Faith which are necessary to salvation. But that which we charge upon them, as a just ground of our feparation from them, is the imposing of new Doctrines and Practices upon Christians as necessary to salvation, which were never taught by our Saviour, or his Apostles; and which are either directly of the apparently destructive of the apparently contrary to the Doctrine of Christianity, or too apparently destructive of a good life. And I begin,

1. With their Doctrines. And because I have no mind to aggravate lesser matters, I will fingle out four or five points of Doctrine, which they have added to the Christian Religion, and which were neither taught by our Saviour and his Apostles, nor own'd in the first Ages of Christianity. And the

First which I shall mention, and which being once admitted makes way for as many errors as they please to bring in, is their Doffrine of Infallibility.

And this they are very stiff and peremptory in, tho they are not agreed among themselves where this Infallibility is seated; whether in the Pope alone, or a Council alone, or in both together, or in the diffusive body of Chrifians. But they are fure they have it, though they know not where it is.

And is this no prejudice against it? Can any man think that this privilege

was at first conferred upon the Church of Rome, and that Christians in all Ages did believe it, and had constant recourse to it for determining their differences, and yet that that very Church which hath enjoyed and used it fo long should now be at a loss where to find it? Nothing could have fallen out more unluckily, than that there should be such differences among them about that which they pretend to be the only means of ending all differences.

There is not the least intimation in Scripture of this Privilege conferr'd upon the Roman Church, nor do the Apostles, in all their Epistles, ever so much as give the least direction to Christians to appeal to the Bishop of Rome for a determination of the many differences which even in those times happen'd among them. And it is strange they should be so silent in this matter, when there were so many occasions to speak of it, if our Saviour had plainly appointed such an Infallible Judge of controversies for this very end to decide the differences that should happen among Christians. It is strange that the Ancient Fathers in their disputes with Hereticks should never appeal to this Judge; nay, it is strange they should not constantly do it in all cases, it being so short and expedite a way for the ending of controversies. And this very confideration to a wife man is instead of a thousand arguments to fatisfy him that in those times no such thing was believed in the world.

Now this Doctrine of Infallibility, if it be not true, is of so much the more pernicious confequence to Christianity, because the conceit of it does confirm them that think they have it in all their other errors; and gives them a pretence of affuming an authority to themselves to impose their own

fancies and mistakes upon the whole Christian world.

2. Their Doctrine about Repentance, which confifts in confessing their fins to the Priest; which if it be but accompanied with any degree of contrition, does upon absolution received from the Priest put them into a state of falvation, tho they have lived the most lewd and debauch'd lives that can be imagin'd; than which nothing can be more plainly destructive of a good life. For if this betrue, all the hazard that the most wicked man runs of his falvation is only the danger of so sudden a death as gives him no space for confession and absolution. A case that happens so rarely, that any man that is strongly addicted to his lusts will be content to venture his salvation upon this hazard; and all the arguments to a good life will be very infignificant to a man that hath a mind to be wicked, when remission of sins may be had upon fuch cheap terms.

3. The Doctrine of Purgatory; By which they mean an estate of temporary punishments after this life, from which men may be released and translated into Heaven by the prayers of the living, and the Sacrifice of the Mass. That this Doctrine was not known in the Primitive Church, nor can be proved from Scripture, we have the free acknowledgment of as learned and eminent men as any of that Church; which is to acknowledge that it is a superstructure upon the Christian Religion. And tho in one sense it be indeed a building of gold and filver upon the foundation of Christianity, confidering the vast revenues which this Doctrine (and that of Indulgences, which depends upon it) brings into that Church; yet I doubt not, but in the Apostles sense, it will be found to be hay and stubble. But how groundless soever it be, it is too gainful a Doctrine to be easily parted withal

4. The

4. The Doctrine of Transubstantiation. A hard word, but I would to God that were the worst of it; the thing is much more difficult. I have taken some pains to consider other Religions that have been in the world, and I must freely declare, that I never yet in any of them met with any Article or Proposition, imposed upon the belief of men, half so unreasonable and hard to be believed as this is: And yet this in the Romifb Church is esteemed one of the most principal Articles of the Christian Faith; the there is no more certain foundation for it in Scripture, than for our Saviour's being fubstantially changed into all those things which are said of him, as that he is a

rock, a vine, a door, and a hundred other things.

But this is not all. This Doctrine hath not only no certain Foundation in Scripture, but I have a far heavier charge against it, namely, that it undermines the very foundation of Christianity it self. And furely nothing ought to be admitted to be a part of the Christian Doctrine which destroys the reafon of our belief of the whole. And that this Doctrine does fo, will appear evidently, if we consider what was the main argument which the Apostles used to convince the world of the truth of Christianity; and that was this, That our blessed Saviour, the Author of this Doctrine, wrought such and such miracles, and particularly that he rose again from the dead. And this they proved because they were eye-witnesses of his miracles, and had seen him and conversed with him after he was risen from the dead. But what if their senses did deceive them in this matter? then it cannot be denied but that the main proof of Christianity falls to the ground.

Well! We will now suppose (as the Church of Rome does) Transabfrantiation to have been one principal part of the Christian Doctrine which the Apostles preached. But if this Doctrine be true, then all mens senses are deceived in a plain sensible matter, wherein its as hard for them to be deceived as in any thing in the world: For two things can hardly be imagin'd more different, than a little bit of wafer and the whole body of a man.

So that the Apostles persuading men to believe this Doctrine persuaded them not to trust their senses, and yet the argument which they used to perfuade them to this was built upon the direct contrary principle, that mens senses are to be trusted. For if they be not, then notwithstanding all the evidence the Apostles offer'd for the resurrection of our Saviour, he might not be risen, and so the faith of Christians was vain. So that they represent the Apostles as absurd as is possible, viz. going about to persuade men out of their senses by virtue of an argument, the whole strength whereof depends upon the certainty of fense.

And now the matter is brought to a fair iffue; If the testimony of fense the now the matter is brought to a rain mue, it intertaintony or jenje be to be relied upon, then Transfubli antiation is falle; If it be not, then no man is fure that Christianity is true. For the utmost affurance that the Apostles had of the truth of Christianity was the testimony of their own senses. concerning our Saviour's Miracles, and this testimony every man hath against Transubstantiation. From whence it plainly follows, that no man (no not the Apostles themselves) had more reason to believe Christianity to be true, than every man hath to believe Transubstantiation to be false. And we who did not see our Saviour's Miracles (as the Apostles did) and have only a credible relation of them, but do fee the Sacrament, have lefs

evidence of the truth of Christianity than of the fallhood of Transubstantiation.

But cannot God impose upon the senses of men, and represent things to them otherwise than they are? Yes, undoubtedly. And if he hath revealed that he doth this, are we not to believe him? Most certainly. But then we ought to be affured that he hath made fuch a Revelation; which Affurance no man can have, the certainty of fense being taken away.

I shall press the business a little farther. Supposing the Scripture to be a Divine Revelation, and that these words (This is my Bods) if they be in Scripture, must neessarily be taken in the strict and literal sense; I asknow, what greater evidence any man has that these words (This is my Body) are in the Bible, than every man has that the Bread is not chang'd in the Sacrament? Nay no man has so much; for we have only the evidence of one sense that these words are in the Bible, but that the Bread is not chang'd we have the concurring testimony of several of our senses. In a word, if this be once admitted that the Senses of all men are deceived in one of the most plain sensible matters that can be, there is no certain means left either to convey or prove a Divine Revelation to men; nor is there any way to confute the groffest impostures in the world: For if the clear evidence of all mens senses be not sufficient for this purpose, let any man, if he can, find a better and more convincing argument.

5. I will instance but in one Dodrine more; And that shall be, their Dostrine of deposing Kings in case of Heresy, and absolving their Subjects from their Allegiance to them. And this is not a mere speculative doctrine, but hath been put in practice many a time by the Bishops of Rome, as every one knows that is vers'd in History. For the troubles and confusions which were occasion'd by this very thing make up a good part of the History of se-

I hope no body expects that I should take the pains to shew that this was not the Doctrine of our Saviour and his Apostles, nor of the Primitive Christians. The Papists are many of them so far from pretending this, that in fome times and places, when it is not seasonable and for their purpose, we have much a do to persuade them that ever it was their Doctrine. But if Transubstantiation be their Doctrine, this is; for they came both out of the fame Forge, I mean the Council of Lateran under Pope Innocent the Third. And if (as they tell us) Transubstantiation was then establish'd so was this. And indeed one would think they were Twins and brought forth at the same time, they are so like one another, both of them so monstrously un-

II. I come now in the fecond place to consider some Practices of the Church of Rome, which I am afraid will prove as bad as her Doctrines.

I shall instance in these five.

1. Their celebrating of their Divine service in an unknown tongue. And that not only contrary to the practice of the Primitive Church, and to the great end and defign of Religious Worship, which is the edification of those who are concerned in it, (and it is hard to imagine how men can be edified by what they do not understand) but likewise in direct contradiction to St. Paul, who hath no less than a whole Chapter wherein he confutes this practice as fully, and condemns it as plainly as any thing is condemned in the whole Bible. And they that can have the face to maintain that this practice was not condemned by St. Paul, or that it was allowed and used in the first Ages of Christianity, need not be ashamed to set up for the desence of any paradox in the World.

2. The Communion in one kind. And that notwithstanding that even by their own acknowledgment our Saviour instituted it in both kinds, and the Primitive Church administred it in both kinds. This I must acknowledge is no addition to Christianity but a facrilegious taking away of an effential part of the Sacrament. For the Cup is as effential a part of the inflitution as the Bread; and they might as well, and by the same autho-

3. Their

rity, take away the one as the other, and both as well as either.

Their worshipping of Images. Which practice (notwithstanding all their distinctions about it, which are no other but what the Heathens used in the same case) is as point-blank against the second commandment, as a deliberate and malicious killing of a man is against the fixth. But if the case be so plain, a man would think that at least the Teachers and Guides of that Church should be sensible of it. Why, they are so, and afraid the people should be so too, and therefore in their ordinay Catechims and Manuals of Devotion they leave out the fecond Commandment, and divide the tenth into two to make up the number; left if the common people should know it their Consciences should start at the doing of a thing so directly contrary to the plain command of God.

. The worshipping of the bread and wine in the Eucharist, out of a false and groundless persuasion, that they are substantially changed into the body and blood of Christ. Which if it be not true (and it hath good fortune if it be, for certainly it is one of the most incredible things in the whole World) then by the confession of several of their own learned Writers, they are

guilty of gross Idolatry.

5. The worship and invocation of Saints and Angels; and particularly of the Virgin Mary, which hath now for fome Ages been a principal part of their Religion. Now a man may justly wonder that fo confiderable a part of their Religion. Religion as they make this to be should have no manner of foundation in the Scripture. Does our Saviour any where speak one word concerning the worshipping of Her? Nay, does he not take all occasions to restrain all extravagant apprehensions and imaginations concerning honour due to Her, as foreseeing the degeneracy of the Church in this thing? When he was told that his Mother and Brethren were without; Who (fays he) are my mother and my brethren? He that doth the will of my Father, the same is my mother, and sifter, and brother. And when the Woman brake forth into that rapture concerning the bleffed Mother of our Lord, Bleffed is the womb that bare thee, and the paps that gave thee suck! Our Saviour diverts to another thing, Yearather, bleffed are they that hear the word of God and keep it. Does either our Saviour or his Apostles in all their particular Precepts and Directions concerning Prayer, and the manner of it, and by whom we are to address our selves to God, give the least intimation of praying to the Virgin Mary, or making use of her Mediation? And can any man believe, that if this had been the practice of the Church from the beginning, our Saviour and his Apostles would have been so silent about so considerable a part of Religion; infomuch that in all the Epiffles of the Apoffles I do not remember that her name is so much as once mentioned? And yet the worship of her is at this day in the Church of Rome, and hath been fo for several Ages, a main part of their publick worship, yea and of their private devotions too; in which it is usual with them to say ten Ave Maries for one Pater Nofer; that is, for one Prayer they make to Almighty God, they make ten addresses to the blessed Virgin; for that is the proportion observed in their Rofaries. He that considers this, and had never seen the Bible, would have been apt to think that there had been more faid concerning Her in Scripture, than either concerning God, or our bleffed Saviour; and that the New Teframent were full from one end to the other of precepts and exhortations to the worshipping of Her; and yet when all is done, I challenge any man to shew me so much as one sentence in the whole Bible that sounds that way. And there is as little in the Christian Writers of the first three hundred years. The truth is, this practice began to creep in among some superstitious people about the middle of the fourth Century: And I remember particularly,

that Epiphanius who lived about that time calls it the Herefy of the

And thus I have given you some Instances of several Destrines and Practices which the Church of Rome hath built upon the Foundation of Christianity. Much more might have been faid of them, but from what hath been faid any man may eafily discern how dangerous they are to the falvation of men.

I proceed now in the Second place,

II. To confider, Whether our granting a possibility of falvation, though with great hazard, to those in the Communion of the Roman Church, and their denying it to us, be a fufficient argument and encouragement to any man to quit our Church and go to theirs. And there is the more need to consider this, because this is the great popular argument wherewith the emissaries and agents of that Church are wont to assault our people. Tour Church (fay they) grants that a Papist may be saved; Ours denies that a Protestant can be saved; therefore it is safest to be of our Church, in which salvation by the acknowledgment of both sides is possible.

For answer to this I shall endeavour to shew, that this is so far from being a good argument that it is so intolcrably weak and sophistical that any considerate man ought to be asham'd to be catch'd by it. For either it is good of it felf and sufficient to persuade a man to relinquish our Church, and to pass over to theirs, without entring into the merits of the cause on either side, and without comparing the Doctrines and Practices of both the Churches together, or it is not. If it be not sufficient of it self to persuade a man to leave our Church, without comparing the Doctrines on both sides, then it is to no purpose, and there is nothing got by it. For if upon examination and comparing of Doctrines the one appear to be true and the other false, this alone is a sufficient inducement to any man to cleave to that Church where the true Doctrine is found; and then there is no need of this argument.

If it be faid that this argument is good in it felf without the examination of the Doctrines of both Churches; this feems a very strange thing for any man to affirm, That it is reason enough to a man to be of any Church, whatever her Doctrines and Practices be, if she dobut damn those that differ from ker, and if the Church that differs from her do but allow a possibility of Salvation in her

But they who use this argument, pretend that it is sufficient of it self; and therefore I shall apply my self to shew, as briefly and plainly as I can, the miserable meakness and insufficiency of it to satisfy any man's conscience or prudence to change his Religion. And to this end I shall,

1. Shew the weakness of the principle upon which this argument relies. 2. Give some parallel instances by which it will clearly appear that it con-

cludes false.

3. I shall take notice of some gross absurdities that follow from it.

4. Shew how unfit it is to work upon those to whom it is propounded.

How improper it is to be urged by those that make use of it.

5. How improper it is to be urged by those that make the of it.

I. I shall shew the weakness of the principle upon which this argument relies; And that is this, That whatever different parties in Religion agreeing. is safest to be chosen. The true consequence of which principle if it be driven to the head, is to persuade men to forsake Christianity, and to make them take up in the principles of natural Religion, for in these all Religions do agree. For if this principle be true, and fignify any thing, it is dangerous to embrace any thing wherein the several parties in Religion differ; because that only is fafe and prudent to be chosen wherein all agree. So that this argument, if the foundation of it be good, will perfuade farther than those who make use of it defire it should do; for it will not only make men forfake the Protestant Religion, but Popery too; and which is much morecon-

liderable, Christianity it felf.

II. I will give some parallel instances by which it will clearly be seen that this argument concludes false. The Donatists denied the Baptism of the Catholieks to be good, but the Catholieks acknowledged the Baptism of the Donatists to be valid. So that both sides were agreed that the Baptism of the Donatists was good, therefore the safest way for St. Austin and other Catholicks (according to this argument) was to be baptized again by the Donatifts, because by the acknowledgment of both fides Baptism among them was valid.

But to come nearer to the Church of Rome. Several in that Church hold the personal Infallibility of the Pope, and the lawfulness of deposing and killing Kings for Heresy to be de side, that is, necessary Articles of Faith, and consequently, that whoever does not believe them cannot be saved. But a great many Papists though they believe these things to be no matters of Faith, yet they think those that hold them may be faved, and they are generally very favourable towards them. But now, according to this argument, they ought all to be of their opinion in these points because both sides are agreed that they that hold them may be saved; but one fide positively says that men cannot be saved if they do not hold them.

But my Text furnishes me with as good an instance to this purpose as can be defired. St. Paul here in the Text acknowledgeth the possibility of the Salvation of those who built hay and stubble upon the foundation of Christianity; that they might be saved, tho with great difficulty, and as it were out of the fire. But now among those builders with hay and stubble there were those who denied the possibility of St. Paul's falvation and of those who were of his mind. We are told of some who built the Jewifb Ceremonies and observances upon the foundation of Christianity, and said that unless men were Circumcifed and kept the Law of Mofes they could not be faved. So that by this argument St. Paul and his followers ought to have gone over to those Judaizing Christians, because it was acknowledged on both sides that they might be faved. But the Judaizing Christians were as uncharitable to St. Paul and other Christians, as the Church of Rome is now to us, for they said positively that they could not be faved. But can any man think that St. Paul would have been moved by this argument, to leave a safe and certain way of falvation for that which was only possible, and that with great difficulty and hazard? The argument you fee is the very fame, and yet it concludes the wrong way; which plainly shews that it is a contingent argument, and concludes uncertainly and by chance, and therefore no man ought to be

III. I shall take notice of some gross absurdities that follow from it. I

shall mention but these two.

1. According to this principle it is always fafeft to be on the uncharitable side. And yet uncharitableness is as bad an evidence, either of a true Christian, or a true Church, as a man would wish. Charity is one of the most effential marks of Christianity, and what the Apolile faith of particular Chriflians is as true of whole Churches, that though they have all Faith, yet if they have not Charity they are nothing.

I grant that no Charity teacheth men to fee others damned and not to tell

them the danger of their condition. But it is to be confider'd that the damn-

ing of men is a very hard thing, and therefore whenever we do it the case must be wonderfully plain. And is it so in this matter? They of the Church of Rome cannot deny but that we embrace all the Doctrines of our Saviour contain'd in the Apostles Creed, and determined by the four first Geneour contain a in the Apontes Creed, and determined by the lour thir Ceneral Councils: and yet they will not allow this and a good life to put us within a poffibility of Salvation, because we will not submit to all the innovations they would impose upon us. And yet I think there is scarce any Dottrine or Practice in difference between them and us, which some or other actions are the processing of the post acknowledged either not to be site. of their most learned Writers have not acknowledged either not to be sufficiently contained in Scripture, or not to have been held and practifed by the primitive Church; fo that nothing can excuse their uncharitableness towards us. And they pay dear for the little advantage they get by this argument, for they do what in them lies to make themselves no Christians that they may prove themselves the truer and more Christian Church. A medium which we do not defire to make use of.

2. If this argument were good, then by this trick a man may bring over all the world to agree with him in an error which another does not account damnable, whatever it be, provided he do but damn all those that do not hold it; and there wants nothing but confidence and uncharitableness to do this. But is there any fense, that another mans boldness and want of charity should be an argument to move me to be of his opinion? I cannot illustrate this better, than by the difference between a skilful Physician and a Mountebank. A learned and a skilful Physician is modelf, and speaks justly of things: he says, that such a method of cure which he hath directed is safe; and withal, that that which the Mountebank prescribes may possibly do the work, but there is great hazard and danger in it; But the Mountebank, who work, our there is great nazard and danger in it; But the Monntebank, who never talks of any thing less than Infallible cures, (and always the more Monntebank the fittonger pretence to Infallibility) he is positive that that method which the Physician prescribes will destroy the Patient, but his receipt is infallible and never fails. Is there any reason in this case, that this man shall carry it merely by his considence? And yet if this argument be good, the safest way is to reject the Physician's advice and to stick to the Mountebank's. For both sides are agreed, that there is a nossibility of cure Mountebank's. For both fides are agreed, that there is a poffibility of cure in the Mountebank's method, but not in the Physician's; and so the whole force of the argument lies in the considence of an ignorant man.

IV. This argament is very unfit to work upon those to whom it is propounded: For either they believe we say true in this, or not. If they think we do not, they have no reason to be moved by what we say. If they think we do, why do they not take in all that we say in this matter? Namely, that though it be possible for some in the Communion of the Roman Church to be faved, yet it is very hazardous; and that they are in a fafe condition already in our Church. And why then should a bare possibility, accompanied with infinite and apparent hazard, be an argument to any man to run into

that danger?

Laftly, This argument is very improper to be urged by those who make use of it. Half of the strength of it lies in this, that we Protestants acknowledge that it is possible a Papist may be saved. But why should they lay any stress upon this? What matter is it what we Hereticks say, who are so there is all other things? Mathinks if there were no other damnably mistaken in all other things? Methinks if there were no other reason, yet because we say it, it should seem to them to be unlikely to be true. But I perceive when it serves for their purpose we have some little credit and authority among them.

By this time I hope every one is in some measure satisfied of the weakness

of this argument, which is so transparent that no wife man can honestly use it, and he must have a very odd understanding that can be cheated by it. The truth is, it is a casual and contingent argument, and sometimes it concludes right, and oftner wrong; and therefore no prudent man can be moved by it, except only in one case, when all things are so equal on both fides that there is nothing else in the whole world to determine him; which furely can never happen in matters of Religion, necessary to be believed. No man is so weak, as not to consider in the change of his Religion the merits of the cause it self; as not to examine the Doctrines and Practices of the Churches on both sides; as not to take notice of the considence and Charity of both Parties, together with all other things which ought to move a conscientious and a prudent man: And if upon enquiry there appear to be a clear advantage on either fide, then this argument is needless and comes too late, because the work is already done without it.

Besides, that the great hazard of salvation in the Roman Church (which we declare upon account of the Dottrines and Practices which I have mentioned) ought to deter any man much more from that Religion, than the acknowledged possibility of salvation in it ought to encourage any man to the embracing of it; Never did any Christian Church build so much buy and stubble upon the foundation of Christianity, and therefore those that are saved in it must be saved, as it were, out of the fire. And tho Purgatory be not meant in the Text, yet it is a Doctrine very well suited to their manner of building; for there is need of an ignis purgatorius, of a fire to try their work what it is, and to burn up their hay and fubble. And I have so much Charity (and I desire always to have it) as to hope, that a great many among them who lived piously, and have been almost inevitably de-tain'd in that Church by the prejudice of education and an invincible ignorance, will upon a general Repentance find mercy with God; and though their works suffer loss, and be burnt, yet they themselves may escape, as out of the fire. But as for those who had the opportunities of these suffer loss are appeared to the fire. ledge of the truth, if they continue in the errors of that Church, or apostatize from the truth, I think their condition so far from being safe that there must be extraordinary favourable circumstances in their case to give a man hopes of their falvation.

I have now done with the two things I propounded to speak to. And I am forry that the necessary defence of our Religion, against the restles importumities and attempts of our adversaries upon all forts of persons, hath engaged me to spend so much time in matters of dispute, which I had much rather have employed in another way. Many of you can be my witneffes that I have conftantly made it my business, in this great Presence and Assembly to plead against the impicties and mickedness of men, and have endeavoured by the held common I could think of the case men over the first and for the best arguments I could think of to gain men over to a firm belief and serious practice of the main things of Religion. And I do assure you, I had much rather persuade any one to be a good man, than to be of any party or denomination of Christians whatsoever. For I doubt not but the belief of the ancient Creed, provided we entertain nothing that is deltructive of it, together with a good life, will certainly fave a man; and without this no man can have reasonable hopes of salvation, no not in an infallible Church, if

there were any fuch to be found in the world.

I have been, according to my opportunities, not a negligent observer of the genius and humour of the several Sects and Professions in Religion; and upon the whole matter, I do in my conscience believe the Church of England to be the best constituted Church this day in the world; and that

as to the main, the Doctrine, and Government, and Worship of it, are excellently framed to make men foberly Religious: Securing men on the one hand, from the wild freaks of Enthusiasm; and on the other, from the groß follies of Superstition. And our Church hath this peculiar advantage above several Professions that we know in the world, that it acknowledgeth a due and just fubordination to the civil Authority, and hath always been untainted in its

in the Church of Rome.

And now shall every trisling consideration be sufficient to move a man to relinquish such a Church? There is no greater disparagement to a man's understanding, no greater argument of a light and ungenerous mind, than rashly to change one's Religion. Religion is our greatest concernment of all other, and it is not every little argument, no nor a great noise about infalli-bility, nothing but very plain and convincing evidence, that should sway a man in this case. But they are utterly inexcusable who make a change of such concernment upon the infinuations of one fide only, without ever hearing what can be said for the Church they were baptized and brought up in before they leave it. They that can yield thus eafily to the impressions of every one that hath a design and interest to make *Profesytes*, may at this rate of discretion change their Religion twice a day, and instead of morning and evening Prayer, they may have a morning and evening Religion.

Therefore for God's sake, and for our own Souls sake, and for the sake of our Reputation let us consider and she wour selves men; Let us not suffer our selves to be shaken and carried away with every wind. Let us not run our selves into danger when we may be safe. Let us stick to the foundation of Paliaine the Assistant of our new world and being and being a selection of the se Religion, the Articles of our common belief, and build upon them gold, and filver, and precious stones, I mean, the virtues and actions of a good life; and if we would do this, we should not be apt to set such a value upon hay and stubble. If we would fincerely endeavour to live holy and virtuous lives we should not need to cast about for a Religion which may furnish us with

Serm. XI.

easy and indirect ways to get to Heaven.

I will conclude all with the Apostle's Exhortation, Wherefore my beloved brethren be ye stedfast and unmoveable, always abounding in the work of the Lord.

Now the God of peace which brought again from the dead our Lord Jesus Christ, the great Shepherd of the sheep, by the blood of the everlasting Covenant, make you perfect in every good work, to do his will; working in you that which is well-pleasing in his sight, through Jesus Christ, to whom be Glory for ever and ever. Amen.